UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Criminal File No. 11-87 (MJD/JJK)

(8) JUDE OBIRA OKAFOR,

Defendant.

Ann M. Anaya and John Docherty, Assistant United States Attorneys, Counsel for Plaintiff.

John S. Hughes, Law Office of John S. Hughes, Counsel for Defendant.

The above-entitled matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey J. Keyes dated August 18, 2011. [Docket No. 443]

Defendant filed objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to statute, the Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the record, including the transcript of the hearing. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.2(b). Based upon that review, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and

Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Keyes dated August 18, 2011

to the extent that it holds that Defendant has no standing to challenge the

placement of the GPS device. The Court does not need to reach the issue of

whether the use of the GPS device was a search.

Accordingly, based upon the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of United

States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey J. Keyes dated August 18, 2011.

[Docket No. 443].

2. Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained as a Result of

Unlawful Search and Seizure [Docket No. 425] is **DENIED**.

Dated: October 4, 2011

s/ Michael J. Davis

Michael J. Davis

Chief Judge

United States District Court

2